

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1430
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/444,120	11/19/1999	YOSHIHIKO SHIODA	019441.023	8235	
75	90 07/17/2003				
DALBERT U SHEFTE KENNEDY COVINGTON LOBDELL AND HICKMAN 4200 BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATE CENTER			EXAMINER		
			GORDON, RAEANN		
100 N TRYON CHARLOTTE.	NC 282024006		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
.			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	OV	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Advisory Action	09/444,120	SHIODA, YOSHIHIK	0			
, and a first the second	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raeann Gorden	3711	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s)·					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · ——	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 7-10.						
Claim(s) objected to: 21 and 22.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,18-20 and 23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,6,11-17	,24,27 and 28.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	Mr.	Mark S. Graha Primary Exami	im ner			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive and do not overcome the prior art of record.